

RICHARD J. DOREN, SBN 124666  
rdoren@gibsondunn.com  
DANIEL G. SWANSON, SBN 116556  
dswanson@gibsondunn.com  
JASON C. LO, SBN 219030  
jlo@gibsondunn.com  
**GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP**  
333 South Grand Avenue  
Los Angeles, CA 90071  
Telephone: 213.229.7000  
Facsimile: 213.229.7520

CYNTHIA E. RICHMAN (D.C. Bar No.  
492089; *pro hac vice*  
crichman@gibsondunn.com  
**GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP**  
050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20036  
Telephone: 202.955.8500  
Facsimile: 202.467.0539

JULIAN W. KLEINBRODT, SBN 302085  
jkleinbrodt@gibsondunn.com  
**GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP**  
One Embarcadero Center, Suite 2600  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
Telephone: 415.393.8200  
Facsimile: 415.393.8306

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
**OAKLAND DIVISION**

EPIC GAMES, INC.,  
  
Plaintiff, Counter-defendant,  
  
v.  
  
APPLE INC.,  
  
Defendant, Counterclaimant.

MARK A. PERRY, SBN 212532  
mark.perry@weil.com  
JOSHUA M. WESNESKI (D.C. Bar  
No. 1500231; *pro hac vice*)  
joshua.wesneski@weil.com  
**WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES  
LLP**  
2001 M Street NW, Suite 600  
Washington, DC 20036  
Telephone: 202.682.7000  
Facsimile: 202.857.0940

Attorneys for Defendant APPLE INC.

Case No. 4:20-CV-05640-YGR-TSH

**APPLE INC.'S SUPPLEMENT TO  
STATUS REPORT RE SPECIAL MASTER  
REVIEW**

Courtroom: 1, 4th Floor

Judge: Hon. Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers

1 Pursuant to the Court's Minute Entry of January 14, 2025 (Dkt. 1117), Defendant and  
2 Counterclaimant Apple Inc. ("Apple"), by and through its undersigned counsel, respectfully sub-  
3 mits this Supplement to Status Report Re Special Master Review that the parties jointly submitted  
4 on January 31, 2025 (Dkt. 1151).

5 On Friday afternoon, Magistrate Judge Hixson held a hearing regarding the progress of  
6 discovery in this matter. Judge Hixson, who had read the parties' submission to this Court (Dkt.  
7 1151) as well as the parties' regular joint status report filed the previous day (Dkt. 1149), made a  
8 recommendation as to how the Special Master review could proceed to conclusion in light of this  
9 Court's order setting the resumption of the evidentiary hearing for February 24, 2025. Judge  
10 Hixson explained, based on his review of a number of the documents at issue, that there's "a fair  
11 degree of repetition, so I don't know if it's worthwhile to wait until the Special Masters have re-  
12 viewed every last claim of privilege." Rather, Judge Hixson continued, "it might be preferable to  
13 proceed with the hearings as scheduled in February provided there is some method by which Epic  
14 could file something with the Court down the road, if some documents are later produced that Ep-  
15 ic thinks are important." Judge Hixson left it up to the parties whether to convey this recommen-  
16 dation to this Court.\*

17 Apple promptly sent Epic a letter proposing to implement Judge Hixson's recommenda-  
18 tion, and requesting a response by mid-day Sunday. Apple's letter, attached hereto as Exhibit A,  
19 further proposed a process by which the parties could each select 1,000 documents from Apple's  
20 privilege logs to prioritize for the Special Masters' review in the weeks leading up to the hearing.  
21 Apple believes this proposal would provide an efficient method for the Special Masters to get  
22 through the documents that the parties want reviewed prior to the hearing, is consistent with the  
23 Special Master Re-Review Protocol that has already been entered as an order by this Court, and  
24 takes into consideration Epic's request that a subset of documents (i.e., the 100 identified in its  
25 portion of the January 31 status report) be considered before the hearing. As of the time of this  
26

---

27 \* A transcript of the January 31 hearing is not yet available; the quoted statements herein are be-  
28 lieved to be accurate but the transcript is of course the official record of the proceedings.

1 filing, Epic had not responded to Apple's letter. Apple therefore respectfully brings Judge  
2 Hixson's recommendation, as well as the prioritization process proposed by Apple in its letter, to  
3 the Court for its consideration.

4  
5 Dated: February 3, 2025

Respectfully submitted:

6 By: /s/ Mark A. Perry  
7 WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP

8 Mark A. Perry

9 Attorney for Apple Inc.